

CEEDATA energy analysis


The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

Barcelona, 14 May 2014

J.W. Storm van Leeuwen

storm@ceedata.nl


www.stormsmith.nl




The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

nuclear power as advertised

“clean, safe, sustainable”






The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

Practice is not that simple

A nuclear reactor generates
heat + **radioactivity** inextricably and irreversibly






The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

- From where comes the nuclear fuel?
- What happens to the human-made radioactivity?

Assessment by means of physical life cycle analysis (LCA)
+ energy analysis






The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

Outline

- 1 Global potential of nuclear power
- 2 Human-made radioactivity
- 3 Hazards of nuclear power
- 4 Summary



1


Global potential of nuclear power

- nuclear share world energy supply
- nuclear process chain
- principle of energy analysis
- energy costs energy: EROEI
- energy quality of uranium resources
- coal equivalence
- energy cliff
- CO₂ trap




The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

Nuclear share world energy in 2010




world energy consumption in 2010: ~515 EJ

traded energy: 463 EJ




The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

A nuclear reactor is part of the nuclear chain,
all processes from cradle to grave occur
within biosphere



Nuclear process chain
LWR once-through







The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

Method


Analysis and quantification of all material and energy inputs and outputs of each process of the nuclear chain from cradle to grave





The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

Energy inputs and output of the nuclear chain
as it ought to be.
from cradle to grave





The inconvenient practice of nuclear power


Energy Return On Energy Investments


$$\text{EROEI} = \frac{\text{total energy output}}{\text{sum energy inputs}}$$



The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

Known uranium resources of the world





Uranium resources and E quality

Energy quality =
net energy potential per kg U as present in the crust

Energy quality lower as:

- lower uranium grade
- deeper deposits
- harder ore minerals


More energy consumed per recovered kg U =>
less net energy per kg U generated by nuclear chain



The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

Coal equivalence


At 200 grams U per ton rock (200 ppm):
mass of uranium ore mined and processed
= mass of coal mined and burned
to produce same amount of electricity.




The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

Energy cliff


energy return on energy investments EROEI
vs ore grade





The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

Energy cliff over time






The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

CO₂ trap

nuclear CO₂ emission vs U ore grade






The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

CO₂ trap


nuclear CO₂ emission over time






Outlook uranium resources: view of nuclear industry

- criterion: price of uranium, unit: \$/kg U
- higher U price
 - > more exploration
 - > more discoveries
 - > larger U resources
- ergo: U resources practically inexhaustible



Outlook uranium resources: physical view

- criterion: extraction energy, unit: joule/kg U
- not U price, but energy quality counts
- beyond energy cliff: nuclear power = energy sink
- ergo: *net energy* content world U resources limited




The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

2

Human-made radioactivity







The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

Human-made
radioactivity

1 billion
X
natural input





The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

Radioactivity

Each reactor (1GWe) generates each year
1000 nuclear bomb equivalents
(15 kiloton, Hiroshima)






Radioactivity

World human-made radioactive inventory ever generated
10 million bomb equivalents
still in mobile form in human environment






Radioactivity

- cannot be made harmless to living organisms
- cannot be destroyed
- decay cannot be accelerated



The inconvenient practice of nuclear power




Just one option

Isolation of radioactivity from the biosphere in a geologic repository




The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

Concept of deep geologic repository





The KBS-3 concept for disposal of spent nuclear fuel.



The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

Nuclear chain: current practice





The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

Paradigm barrier

- short-term profit seeking
- habit of living on credit
- believing in virtual technology
- privatisation of profits, socialisation of costs
- *Après nous le déluge*




The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

Après nous le déluge

This happens spontaneously

Prevention requires
dedicated effort
energy
materials





3

Hazards of nuclear power

- health effects of radioactivity
- dispersion of radioactivity
- nuclear disasters
- rising risks
- role of IAEA and WHO
- downplaying health hazards





The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

Health effects of radioactivity ('low' doses)

- cancers (usually lethal)
- non-cancer chronic diseases (lethal and non-lethal)
- premature aging
- stillbirths
- genetic malformations
- inheritable diseases

Long latency periods (years to decades)


The inconvenient practice of nuclear power


Pathways of radioactivity

Chronic exposure to tritium and carbon-14 via air, food and water

Chemical and biological mechanisms in human body poorly understood

Health effects epidemiologically proved





Detection of radioactive contamination

Only strong gamma emitters detectable by common radiation counters

Not alpha & beta radiation

=> many dangerous radionuclides not detectable

Among other: Tritium
Carbon-14
Iodine-129




Official dose-effect models

based on:

- hardly understandable models from 1940s and 1950s
- radiation (X-ray + γ) from external sources
- arbitrary assumptions

not included:

- empirical evidence past decades
- biochemical behavior radionuclides inside body
- chronic exposure to number of different radionuclides
- cumulation of radionuclides in specific organs




The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

Standards allowed exposure to radioactivity

Can easily be adapted to economic and political needs

Not based on unambiguous scientific medical evidence




Radioactive discharges

- intentional (authorized) routine discharges
flexible standards
- unintentional leaks and accidents,
often unnoticed, often concealed
- disasters (Chernobyl, Fukushima)



Risk enhancing factors

- narrow safety margins, large consequences
- extremely long cradle-to-grave periods (>100 years)
- human factor
- ageing of materials and equipment
- secrecy and entanglements of interests
- inadequate measurements and computer models
- economic pressure
- transport nuclear materials
- political instability
- proliferation
- terrorism, armed conflicts



Nuclear disasters

If cooling fails, spent fuel melts, followed by steam- and hydrogen explosions and recriticality


possible in: nuclear reactors
spent fuel pools
reprocessing plants



The inconvenient practice of nuclear power


Explosion cooling pool Fukushima reactor 3 (14 March 2011)





The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

Dispersion of radioactivity (Cs-137) from Chernobyl






The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

Dispersion of cesium-137 from Fukushima

Fukushima, Cesium 137 total ground deposition (in Bq/m^2), CERE source (inverse modeling), 2011-04-05 00:00:00 UTC

CEREA, École des Ponts ParisTech and EdF R&D







The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

Dispersion of radioactivity from Fukushima

Radioactive Seawater Impact Map (update: March 2012)





The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

Rising risks nuclear disasters

- increasing amounts human-made radioactivity
- increasing number of temporary storage facilities
- increasing economic pressure
- increasing shortages skilled personnel

Added to:

Increasing irrevocable degradation of materials and structures

(Second Law of thermodynamics)



The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

Economic pressure


Relaxation allowable discharges into environment

Decreasing inspections

Choices for 'economically viable' solutions

Declining economic means

Postponing adequate but costly activities




The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

Role of the IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency)

Dominant in communication nuclear world ->
policy makers and public

IAEA is not an independent scientific institute:

- promotion nuclear energy in mission statement
- promotes interests of member states
- reports need approval by member states



WHO-IAEA connection

In its reports on nuclear matters the World Health Organization (WHO) is not allowed to deviate from IAEA viewpoint




Downplay of health effects of radioactivity

IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency)
and WHO (World Health Organization) *do not* recognise
health effects attributable to exposure to 'low' doses

Biggest danger: radiophobia


IAEA view: models more trustworthy than empirical
evidence



The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

IAEA/WHO assessment Chernobyl disaster

“Unscientific and untrustworthy” (IPPNW)



The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

4

Summary




The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

1

Dim outlook nuclear power

- coal equivalence
- energy cliff
- CO₂ trap
- energy debt




The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

2

Nuclear energy is energy on credit,
the bill comes later

Nuclear energy does not comply with *any*
sustainability criterion



The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

3

Health hazards and societal risks of nuclear power are greatly underrated

Hazards increasing with time

Risks of large nuclear disasters are increasing



The inconvenient practice of nuclear power

4

Nuclear world (first IAEA) severely downplays
health hazards of radioactivity

WHO dependent on IAEA on nuclear matters

